UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,205	01/20/2006	Uri Arnin	1454VASUS	9521
David Klein	7590 01/21/2009		EXAMINER	
Dekel Patent Beit HaRof'im			SCHILLINGER, ANN M	
18 Menuha Venahala Street Room 27			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rehovot, 76209 ISRAEL	)		3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/565,205	ARNIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ANN SCHILLINGER	3774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 N</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under <u>B</u>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal C 6) Other:	oate			

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-13, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Globerman et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 7,097,648). Globerman et al. discloses the following of the claimed invention: an elastomeric sheath (20; col. 10, lines 19-26) having a first non-expanded position (Figure 1A) and a second expanded position (Figures 1C, 1D), and surrounding an outside portion of a rod (60); a sheath compactor (102); a stopper (408); a guiding wire (100); and a second stopper (106). The device may be constructed from shape memory and/or elastomeric material, which may form an arcuate shape (col. 10, lines 19-26) and would be capable of expanding in a uniform or a non-uniform manner. As the sheath is being expanded, it will have a varying distance between the folds, and more folds on one side than the other.

Please note that the claim language "adapted to/for" and "configured to/for" is functional language. In order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. *In re Fuller*, 1929 C.D. 172; 388 O.G. 279.

Regarding claim 8, the claim language only addresses the intended use of the rod. The rod is flexible, and therefore, the ends are inherently fastenable together as broadly claimed. It

Art Unit: 3774

has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Globerman et al. in view of Brumfield et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,235,028). Globerman et al. discloses the claimed invention except for constructing the rod from a shape-memory material. Brumfield et al. teaches a spinal prosthesis that uses a guide, insertion rod which is made of a shape-memory material in col. 12, lines 30-57 for the purpose of allowing the rod greater flexibility, while still maintaining its original shape. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the rod of Brumfield et al. from a shape-memory material in order to give the rod greater flexibility, while still maintaining its original shape.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Globerman et al. in view of McNamara et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,147,370). Globerman et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the use of a fastening ring. McNamara et al. teaches a biological prosthesis that uses a fastening ring in column 9 for the purpose of securely connecting parts of the device together. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/565,205 Page 4

Art Unit: 3774

invention was made to use a fastening ring in order to securely connect parts of the device together.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Globerman et al. in view of Reiley et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,248,110). Globerman et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, however, Globerman does not teach the rod having a removable portion. Reiley et al. teaches a biological prosthesis that uses a rod with a removable portion in col. 8, lines 52-60 for the purpose of allowing easier use and manipulation of the rod. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the rod of Globerman et al. by making a portion of it removable in order to allow easier use and manipulation of the rod.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Globerman et al. in view of Scholten et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,969,888). Globerman et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the use of an anchor. Scholten et al. teaches a vertebral prosthesis that uses an anchor in col. 4, lines 55-68 for the purpose of keeping the inserted device in its desired location. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an anchor in order to keep the inserted device in its desired location.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/565,205 Page 5

Art Unit: 3774

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANN SCHILLINGER whose telephone number is (571)272-6652. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 9 a.m. to 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Isabella can be reached on (571) 272-4749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3774

/William H. Matthews/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3774